

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,976	01/15/2004	Mark E. Tuttle	MI40-366	8340
21567	7590 05/03/2005	EXAM	INER	
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S.			ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A	
601 W. FIRST SPOKANE, V	AVENUE, SUITE 1300 VA 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2635	
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(A)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commence	10/759,976	TUTTLE, MARK E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian A Zimmerman	2635
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	flice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been receau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumr	mary (PTO-413)
2)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/15/04</u> .	6) Other:	atom appropriot (1 10-102)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/759,976

Art Unit: 2635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 15 recites the limitation "the transmitter" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1,3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-9 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6693513. This is a double patenting rejection. The claims correspond as set forth in the following table.

US PAT 6693513
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

Application/Control Number: 10/759,976

Art Unit: 2635

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1,3-6,11-14,16-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,3-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6768415. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim the same subject matter in a broader manner. The claims correspond as set forth in the following table.

10/759976	US PAT 6768415
1	1
3	1
4	3
5	1
6	1
11	4
12	5
13	6
14	7
16	4
17	5
18	4
19	4
20	5
21	6
22	7

Application/Control Number: 10/759,976

Art Unit: 2635

4. Claims 2,11-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 10-14,28-30,32-35 of U.S. Patent No. 6693513. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim the same subject matter in a broader manner. The claims correspond as set forth in the following table.

40/750076	LIC DAT CCCCCAO
10/759976	US PAT 6693513
2	14
11	10
12	11
13	12
14	13
15	14
16	28
17	29
18	30
19	32
20	33
21	35
22	34

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian A. Zimmerman whose telephone number is 571-272-3059. The examiner can normally be reached on Off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Horabik can be reached on 571-272-3068. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,976

Art Unit: 2635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bran A Zimmerman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2635 Page 5

BAZ